

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 24743-2301 Н KOSTER 04/27/98 09/067,337 **EXAMINER** HM12/1004 WILSON, J STEPHANIE SEIDMAN PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE 4250 EXECUTIVE SQUARE 1623 7TH FLOOR LA JOLLA CA 92037 10/04/99 DATE MAILED: This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This application has been examined for restriction only. Responsive to communication filed or This action is made final. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire month(s), days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION 1. X Claims ire pending in the application. vithdrawn from consideration Of the above, claims 2. Claims 4. Claims are rejected. 5. Claims are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement. 6. X Claims 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). 10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on \_ \_\_ has (have) been approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed , has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).

12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received not been received

13. 🔲 Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in

\_\_; filed on \_

14. 🔲 Other

been filed in parent application, serial no.

accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Application/Control Number: 09/067,337

Art Unit: 1623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A, represented by formula (Ia);

Species B, represented by formula (Ib);

Species C, represented by formula (Ic);

Species D, represented by fomula (Id);

Species E, represented by formula (Ie);

Species F, represented by formula (If).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 33 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/067,337

Art Unit: 1623

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James O. Wilson, Primary Examiner in Art Unit 1623 at telephone number (703) 308-4624.

GROUP 1600